## Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 6427 Case file # 95-255588.

Material Examiner: Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in guilty plea.

maependent Kevi	iew conducte	d by:	Steve Robertso	DO			•
Area(s) of Expert	ise: Hair a	ınd Fiber			_		
Review commend	ed at: 9:30	) AM	(Time),	03/15/2001	(Date	e)	
File #: 95-255	5588						
Laboratory #(s):	30526052						
•	30531083						
	30831048	-					
			Examiner(s	s) & Symbols	5		
	Revie	ewed	Not Reviewed			Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	X	0				0	0
			VΠ			0	0
UL		ļ	Χ¤			- u	_
UL	<u> </u>		Z□				0
UL			Ċ	s Reviewed			
Trial testimony tra			Ċ	s Reviewed			
Trial testimony tra			Ċ	s Reviewed Pages:			
Trial testimony tra	anscript(s) of		Ċ	·			
Trial testimony tra  Testimon  aboratory Report(	anscript(s) of		Ċ	·	Date:		
Trial testimony tra  Testimon  aboratory Report(  Laboratory	anscript(s) of:  ny Date(s): s):	30526	Materials	Pages:	Date:		
Trial testimony tra  Testimon  aboratory Report(:  Laboratory  Laboratory	anscript(s) of:  ny Date(s):  s):	30526	Material:	Pages:	-	Aug 9, 1983	
Trial testimony tra  Testimon  aboratory Report(:  Laboratory  Laboratory	anscript(s) of:  y Date(s):  y Number: y Number: y Number:	30526	Material:	Pages:	Date:	Aug 9, 1983	
Trial testimony tra  Testimon  aboratory Report(  Laboratory  Laboratory  Laboratory  Laboratory  Examiner Bench N	anscript(s) of:  y Date(s):  y Number: y Number: y Number:	30526	Material:	Pages:	Date:	Aug 9, 1983	
Trial testimony tra  Testimon  aboratory Report(  Laboratory  Laboratory  Laboratory  Laboratory  Examiner Bench N	anscript(s) of:  ny Date(s): s): y Number: y Number: y Number:	30526 30831	Material: 6052/30531083 .048/30526052/3	Pages:	Date:	Aug 9, 1983	

\$	Results of R	eview	•	
File #: 95-25	5588 Item or Specimen #	Reviewed:	Q1-Q25,	K1, K3
	Davier of Laborator Description	(a) and Danal	NT-40-	
	Review of Laboratory Report			
	Note: Numbered comments are readditional pages for any "No" or "Unab	<del>-</del>		ases
Are the o	examination results set forth in the laboratory i	□ No X □ Ui eport(s) support X □ No □ Ui	ted and ac	lequately documented in
	Review of Testi	mony:	<del></del>	<del></del>
			or on	
	Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unab	_		nses .
【□ Transcript no	additional pages for any "No" or "Unab	_		nses
Transcript no Testimony cos	additional pages for any "No" or "Unab	_		uses □ Unable to Determine
) Testimony co	additional pages for any "No" or "Unab	le to Determine	e" Respo	<u>.</u>
Testimony com	additional pages for any "No" or "Unabot available.  assistent with the laboratory report(s)?	le to Determine	e" Respo	□ Unable to Determine
Testimony con	additional pages for any "No" or "Unabot available.  Insistent with the laboratory report(s)?  Insistent with the bench notes?	le to Determine  ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No	□ Unable to Determine □ Unable to Determine
Testimony com	additional pages for any "No" or "Unabot available.  Insistent with the laboratory report(s)?  Insistent with the bench notes?	le to Determine  ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No	□ Unable to Determine □ Unable to Determine

## Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-255588		
#1: With microscopic h	nair comparison, even with the best notes, there is no way to determ	nine the comparison
was performed correctly	y.	
č,		
#2: The examination res	sults set forth in the laboratory report are supported by the bench n	otes, but the
locumentation is margi	inally adequate. The notes are not dated or initialed and are in pend	il. The notes do not
ndicate that hair was re	ecovered from Q1-Q17, yet a hair from Q1 matched the victim's ha	air and a hair from
Q15 matched the suspe	ct's hair. Malone uses abbreviations to indicate the microscopic ch	aracteristics of the
uspect's hair. These ab	bbreviations are difficult to interpret. A detailed characterization of	the victim's hair is
ot documented. While	the failure to document these microscopic characteristics does not	prevent a comparison
rom being performed,	adequate documentation is achieved when this characterization is o	lone.
nereby certify that I con	nducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.	ne results of my review . 03/15/2001
	(Signature)	(Date)

## Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 6427 Case file # 95-255588.

Material Examiner:

Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in guilty plea.

Toolmark (wood handle) examination.

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIE		F
Independent Review conducted by: Robert B. Moberley, U Area(s) of Expertise: Fracture match / Physical	.5. Postal I reconstruct	ion
Review commenced at: 12:45P (Time), 7/17/0]	Date)	
File#: 95-5558	•	
Laboratory #(s): 30536053 5 RQUL		•
30531083 5 UL	<u> </u>	
•	•	
Examiner(s) & Symbols		
Malone (RQ) Reviewed Not Reviewed	. Reviewed	Not Reviewed
(w_) - X	a	d
Materials Reviewed		
Trial testimony transcript(s) of:		
Testimony Date(s): Pages:		. •
Laboratory Report(s):		•
· Laboratory Number: 30536053 5 RQ UL	Date: 8/9/83	}
Laboratory Number: 30531083 5 UL	Date:	<u>.                                    </u>
Laboratory Number:	Date:	
Examiner Bench Notes of: Malone		
Laboratory Number: 305 31050		
Laboratory Number:		•
Laboratory Number:		
Page / of 3		
Page of		

•

es, p	please identify and/or describe the material:	//	<u> </u>	Lographs	
			_		-
				·	
	<i>ç</i> ·				
	Results o				
;#:	95-255588 Item or	Specimen # I	Reviewed	9/442	
			_		
	Review of Laboratory Re	eport(s) and	d Bench	Notes:	
	Note: Numbered comments				
	additional pages for any "No" or "	CHADIC OF L			
	additional pages for any "No" or "	- CHABIC LO L		•	
	Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in	a scientificall	y accepta	ble manner, based on the me	ethods,
		a scientificall	y accepta original e	ble manner, based on the me	ethods,
	Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a protocols, and analytic techniques available at the	a scientificall time of the c es □ No	y accepta original e □ Unal	ble manner, based on the me xamination(s)? ole to Determine	
	Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a protocols, and analytic techniques available at the	a scientificall  time of the c  es □ No  ory report(s) s	y accepta riginal e Unal	ble manner, based on the me xamination(s)? ole to Determine	
	Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a protocols, and analytic techniques available at the YY.  Are the examination results set forth in the laborate bench notes?	a scientificall time of the of es  No ory report(s) ses  No	y accepta riginal e Unal supported	ble manner, based on the me xamination(s)? ble to Determine and adequately documented	
	Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in protocols, and analytic techniques available at the Y.  Are the examination results set forth in the laborate bench notes?  Review of	a scientificall time of the of es  No ory report(s) s es  No Testimony	y accepta riginal e Unal supported	ble manner, based on the me xamination(s)? ble to Determine and adequately documented ble to Determine	
	Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a protocols, and analytic techniques available at the YY.  Are the examination results set forth in the laborate bench notes?	a scientificall time of the of es  No ory report(s) s es  No Testimony s are require	y accepta riginal e Unal supported Unal	ble manner, based on the me xamination(s)? ble to Determine and adequately documented ble to Determine  or on	
	Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a protocols, and analytic techniques available at the YY.  Are the examination results set forth in the laborate bench notes?  Review of  Note: Numbered comments additional pages for any "No" or "	a scientificall time of the of es  No ory report(s) s es  No Testimony s are require	y accepta riginal e Unal supported Unal	ble manner, based on the me xamination(s)? ble to Determine and adequately documented ble to Determine  or on	
	Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a protocols, and analytic techniques available at the YY.  Are the examination results set forth in the laborate bench notes?  Review of  Note: Numbered comments additional pages for any "No" or "script not available.	a scientificall time of the continue of the co	y accepta riginal e Unal supported Unal	ble manner, based on the me xamination(s)? ble to Determine and adequately documented ble to Determine  or on e"Responses	
	Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a protocols, and analytic techniques available at the YY.  Are the examination results set forth in the laborate bench notes?  Review of  Note: Numbered comments additional pages for any "No" or "	a scientificall time of the of es  No ory report(s) s es  No Testimony s are require	y accepta riginal e Unal supported Unal	ble manner, based on the me xamination(s)? ble to Determine and adequately documented ble to Determine  or on	
Test	Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a protocols, and analytic techniques available at the YY.  Are the examination results set forth in the laborate bench notes?  Review of  Note: Numbered comments additional pages for any "No" or "script not available.	a scientificall time of the continue of the co	y accepta riginal e Unal supported Unal	ble manner, based on the me xamination(s)? ble to Determine and adequately documented ble to Determine  or on e"Responses	
Tes	Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in protocols, and analytic techniques available at the Year the examination results set forth in the laborate bench notes?  Review of  Note: Numbered comments additional pages for any "No" or examination results set forth in the laborate bench notes?	a scientificall time of the continue of the co	y accepta riginal e Unal supported Unal	ble manner, based on the me xamination(s)? ble to Determine and adequately documented ble to Determine  or on e Responses  Unable to Determine	
Tes	Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in protocols, and analytic techniques available at the YY.  Are the examination results set forth in the laborate bench notes?  Review of  Note: Numbered comments additional pages for any "No" or " script not available.  timony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?  timony consistent with the bench notes?	a scientificall time of the continue of the co	y accepta riginal e Unal supported Unal	ble manner, based on the me xamination(s)? ble to Determine and adequately documented ble to Determine  or on e"Responses  Unable to Determine  Unable to Determine	

Page \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

## Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

Use Additional Comments Sheet, if needed)
Photo notes document the physical fit but are
annotated with a lab number not listed in the
other documentation or lab report. The other
examiner laboratory notes thoroughly describe
the items essentially confirming the photos.
Review completed at: / DP (Time), 71/7/10/ (Date)  Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): // Now  I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of pages.
16 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
•
•
-
$P_{age} = 3 $ of $3$

Page \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_